## Data appendix

## A. Variables definitions

We consider 5 different labor market outcomes in our analysis:
(1) Employed: A dummy variable equal to 1 if the individual is employed and 0 if the individual is notemployed. An employed person is a person aged 15 years or older who has worked (for pay or profit) for at least one hour during a given week or having a job from which being absent under conditions on the reason of absence (holidays, sick leave, maternity leave, etc.) or duration. Not-employed individuals represent unemployed individuals and those outside the labor force.
(2) Unemployment: A dummy variable equal to 1 if the individual is unemployed and 0 if the individual is employed. An unemployed persons is a person who is no paid nor self-employed, is at present seeking for employment and is available for work.
(3) Unemployment duration: A numerical variable from 1 to 120 representing the time in months since the person last worked. This variable is only defined for unemployed individuals with employment experience.
(4) Not-employed because of health problems: A dummy variable equal to 1 if the individual is notemployed because he/she is unable to work due to health problems and 0 otherwise.
(5) Income deciles: A numerical variable from 1 to 10 representing the monthly take home pay from main job in deciles groups (1-below the $1^{\text {st }}$ decile; 2-between the $1^{\text {st }}$ and the $2^{\text {nd }}$ decile; 3-between the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and the $3^{\text {rd }}$ decile; 4-between the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and the $4^{\text {th }}$ decile; 5-between the $4^{\text {th }}$ and the $5^{\text {th }}$ decile; 6 -between the $5^{\text {th }}$ and the $6^{\text {th }}$ decile; 7 -between the $6^{\text {th }}$ and the $7^{\text {th }}$ decile; 8 -between the $7^{\text {th }}$ and the $8^{\text {th }}$ decile; 9 -between the $8^{\text {th }}$ and the $9^{\text {th }}$ decile and 10 -more or equal to the $9^{\text {th }}$ decile).

The 3 different independent variables for the measures of the digital revolution in our analysis are constructed based on individuals' occupations. For the employed individuals, the occupations represent their current occupation and for the not-employed they represent their previous occupations (the one they had before moving to not-employment).
(1) Growing occupations: A dummy variable equal to 1 if the individual is in a growing occupations (ISCO groups 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 31, 33, 34 and 53) and 0 if the individual is/was in a declining occupations (ISCO groups 72, 73, 74 and 75). We obtained this variable from another analysis of the Belgian Labor Force Survey in which we ranked occupations based on changes in the absolute number of workers in each of these occupation between 1986 and 2020. The 10 occupations with the biggest positive changes represent the growing occupations and the 4 occupations with the biggest negative changes the declining occupations. The 10 occupations with the biggest positive changes represent the growing occupations and the 4 occupations with the biggest negative changes the declining occupations.
(2) Occupation growth rate: The percentage changes in the number of workers within each occupations between 1986 and 2020 in Belgium. Again, we obtained this variable from a pevious analysis of the Belgian Labor Force Survey in which we obtain the absolute number of workers in each of the ISCO occupations between 1986 and 2020. To obtain the percentage growth rate, we took the log of the
ratio between the absolute number of workers in each occupations between 2020 and 1986. The occupations growth rates are given in the table below:

Table A.1: Occupations' growth rate by occupations

| ISCO-08 <br> (2 digits) | Occupations <br> growth rate | ISCO-08 <br> (2 digits) | Occupations <br> growth rate | ISCO-08 <br> (2 digits) | Occupations <br> growth rate | ISCO-08 <br> (2 digits) | Occupations <br> growth rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 0.44 | 31 | 0.78 | 51 | 0.38 | 81 | -0.25 |
| 12 | 0.87 | 32 | 0.23 | 52 | 0.16 | 82 | 0.23 |
| 13 | 0.85 | 33 | 0.74 | 53 | 0.61 | 83 | -0.02 |
| 14 | -0.57 | 34 | 1.01 | 54 | 0.29 | 91 | 0.43 |
| 21 | 0.91 | 35 | 0.68 | 71 | -0.02 | 92 | 0.04 |
| 22 | 1.03 | 41 | 0.02 | 72 | -0.38 | 93 | 0.47 |
| 23 | 0.40 | 42 | 0.16 | 73 | -0.73 | 94 | 0.56 |
| 24 | 1.41 | 43 | -0.15 | 74 | -0.19 | 95 | 0.49 |
| 25 | 1.84 | 44 | 0.45 | 75 | -0.46 | 96 | 0.09 |
| 26 | 1.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(3) Automation potential: A scale from 0 to 1 representing the automation potential of occupations, the closer it is to 1 the higher the probability that the occupation will be automated. We obtained this variable from William Schaffers (2019). Building on the work of Frey and Osborne (2017) and applying a novel class probability estimation model to (principally) the O*NET data, he determined the probability of a certain occupation to be automatable, i.e. the automation potential of the occupation. Note that, the automation potential were calculated for each of the ISCO-08 4-digits groups. Since only the occupations at the 3-digits level are given in the EU-LFS, we took the average within the 3-digits groups. The occupations' automation potential are given in the table below:

Table A.2: Occupations' growth rate by occupations

| ISCO-08 <br> (3 digits) | Automation <br> potential | ISCO-08 <br> (3 digits) | Automation <br> potential | ISCO-08 <br> (3 digits) | Automation <br> potential | ISCO-08 <br> (3 digits) $)$ | Automation <br> potential |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | 0.01 | 311 | 0.49 | 511 | 0.78 | 811 | 0.91 |
| 112 | 0.02 | 312 | 0.16 | 512 | 0.93 | 812 | 0.96 |
| 121 | 0.04 | 313 | 0.73 | 513 | 0.78 | 813 | 0.87 |
| 122 | 0.02 | 314 | 0.40 | 514 | 0.77 | 814 | 0.96 |
| 131 | 0.03 | 315 | 0.36 | 515 | 0.60 | 815 | 0.92 |
| 132 | 0.02 | 321 | 0.53 | 516 | 0.60 | 816 | 0.94 |
| 133 | 0.05 | 322 | 0.19 | 521 | 0.81 | 817 | 0.93 |
| 134 | 0.03 | 323 | 0.16 | 522 | 0.36 | 818 | 0.97 |
| 141 | 0.19 | 324 | 0.74 | 523 | 0.97 | 821 | 0.88 |
| 142 | 0.04 | 325 | 0.55 | 524 | 0.85 | 831 | 0.83 |
| 143 | 0.02 | 331 | 0.77 | 531 | 0.49 | 832 | 0.99 |
| 211 | 0.16 | 332 | 0.61 | 532 | 0.66 | 833 | 0.93 |
| 212 | 0.31 | 333 | 0.49 | 541 | 0.44 | 834 | 0.93 |
| 213 | 0.05 | 334 | 0.73 | 711 | 0.65 | 835 | 0.77 |
| 214 | 0.07 | 335 | 0.52 | 712 | 0.81 | 911 | 0.49 |
| 215 | 0.17 | 341 | 0.55 | 713 | 0.86 | 912 | 0.73 |
| 216 | 0.09 | 342 | 0.27 | 721 | 0.88 | 921 | 0.85 |
| 221 | 0.03 | 343 | 0.36 | 722 | 0.90 | 931 | 0.84 |
| 222 | 0.06 | 351 | 0.36 | 723 | 0.77 | 932 | 0.84 |


| 223 | 0.36 | 352 | 0.46 | 731 | 0.79 | 933 | 0.86 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 225 | 0.16 | 411 | 0.92 | 732 | 0.71 | 941 | 0.93 |
| 226 | 0.10 | 412 | 0.84 | 741 | 0.59 | 952 | 0.78 |
| 231 | 0.11 | 413 | 0.93 | 742 | 0.79 | 961 | 0.82 |
| 232 | 0.04 | 421 | 0.77 | 751 | 0.94 | 962 | 0.93 |
| 233 | 0.03 | 422 | 0.91 | 752 | 0.90 |  |  |
| 234 | 0.09 | 431 | 0.98 | 753 | 0.79 |  |  |
| 235 | 0.21 | 432 | 0.68 | 754 | 0.62 |  |  |
| 241 | 0.63 | 441 | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |
| 242 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 243 | 0.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 251 | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 252 | 0.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 261 | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 262 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 263 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 264 | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 265 | 0.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A. 3 provides the ISCO-08 classifications. The EU-LFS contains information on ISCO-08 at the 1 digit and at the 3 digits. We aggregated the 3 digits groups to obtain the classification at the 2 digits.

Table A.3: ISCO-08 (3 digits) groups

| 1. Managers |
| :--- |
| 11. Chief executives, senior officials and legislators |
| 12. Administrative and commercial managers |
| 13. Production and specialized services managers |
| 14. Hospitality, retail and other services managers |
| 2. Professionals |
| 21. Science and engineering professionals |
| 22. Health professionals |
| 23. Teaching professionals |
| 24. Business and administration professionals |
| 25. Information and communications technology professionals |
| 26. Legal, social and cultural professionals |
| 3. Technicians and associate professionals |
| 31. Science and engineering associate professionals |
| 32. Health associate professionals |
| 33. Business and administration associate professionals |
| 34. Legal, social, cultural and related associate professionals |
| 35. Information and communications technicians |
| 4. Clerical support workers |
| 41. General and keyboard clerks |
| 42. Customer services clerks |
| 43. Numerical and material recording clerks |
| 44. Other clerical support workers |
| 5. Service and sales workers |
| 51. Personal service workers |
| 52. Sales workers |
| 53. Personal care workers |
| 54. Protective services workers |
| 7. Craft and related trades workers |
| 71. Building and related trades workers, excluding electricians |
| 72. Metal, machinery and related trades workers |

73. Handicraft and printing workers
74. Electrical and electronic trades workers
75. Food processing, wood working, garment and other craft and related trades
76. Plant and machine operators, and assemblers
77. Stationary plant and machine operators
78. Assemblers
79. Drivers and mobile plant operators
80. Elementary occupations
81. Cleaners and helpers
82. Agricultural, forestry and fishery laborers
83. Laborers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transport
84. Food preparation assistants
85. Street and related sales and service workers
86. Refuse workers and other elementary workers
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